Case	ase 2:11-cv-03301-DSF Document 16 Filed 04/09/12 Page 1 of 2 Page ID #:	201
1	1	
2	2	
3	3	
4	4	
5	5	
6	6 JS 6	
7	7	
8	8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	0	
11	Alberto Llamel Lozano-Lozano,) Case No.: CR 09-1298 DS CV 11-3301 DS	SF
12		31,
13	v. JUDGMENT	
14	4 United States of America,	
15	5 Respondent.	
16	6	
17	7	
18	Pursuant to this Court's Order Denying Motion to Vacate, Set Aside, or	
19	Correct Sentence Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255,	
20	IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that this action is dismissed with	
21	prejudice.	
22	For the reasons stated in the Order, the Court finds that Petitioner has not	
23	shown that "jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the petition states a	
24	valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right and jurists of reason would find it	
25	debatable whether the district court was correct in its procedural ruling." <u>Slack v.</u>	
26	McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); see also Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S.	
27	322, 336 (2003) (holding certificate of appealability will not issue unless	
28	petitioner has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right);	
	28 U.S.C. § 2253.	

Therefore, a certificate of appealability is denied. Dale S. Jischer 4/9/12 Dated: _____ Dale S. Fischer United States District Judge

Case 2:11-cv-03301-DSF Document 16 Filed 04/09/12 Page 2 of 2 Page ID #:202